

Transmission Report

Fax Number: +1 503 3737337
Recipient name: DAS Risk Management
Sent: 2/20/2015 12:10 AM
Status: Success
Status details: Success
Pages: 7
Price: \$0.50



The Human Rights Tribunal

The Government of The United States of America,
Rural Free Delivery Route 1,
Publication # 105551752097
Box # 22
Sumter county,
State of Florida,
The United States of America,
Global Postal Code-NAC:850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9 A.M. - 8:30 P.M. Monday – Friday
Email: violations@humanrighttribunal.international

Fax Transmittal

February 19, 2015

In the matter of:

Multiple human rights violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Re: **Carolyn Coyne Rousseau**
CASE # 20141026-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47

To: **DAS Risk Management**
1225 Ferry Street SE U150
Salem OR 97310-4287
Fax: (503) 373-7337

Cc: **Marion County Risk Management**
555 Court Street NE, Suite 4250
Salem OR 97310
Fax: (503) 588-7951

Attachments:

1. **Amicus Curiae Brief from the Human Rights Tribunal – (6) pages;**
Total including cover sheet: (7) Pages

Intellectual Property Copyright © 2015 CASE # 20141026-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47-Page 1 of 1

Transmission Report

Fax Number: +1 503 5887951
Recipient name: Marion County Risk Management
Sent: 2/20/2015 12:10 AM
Status: Success
Status details: Success
Pages: 7
Price: \$0.50



The Human Rights Tribunal

The Government of The United States of America,
Rural Free Delivery Route 1,
Publication # 105551752097
Box # 22
Sumter county,
State of Florida,
The United States of America,
Global Postal Code-NAC:850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9 A.M. - 8:30 P.M. Monday – Friday
Email: violations@humanrighttribunal.international

Fax Transmittal

February 19, 2015

In the matter of:

Multiple human rights violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Re: **Carolyn Coyne Rousseau**
CASE # 20141026-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47

To: **DAS Risk Management**
1225 Ferry Street SE U150
Salem OR 97310-4287
Fax: (503) 373-7337

Cc: **Marion County Risk Management**
555 Court Street NE, Suite 4250
Salem OR 97310
Fax: (503) 588-7951

Attachments:

1. **Amicus Curiae Brief from the Human Rights Tribunal – (6) pages;**
Total including cover sheet: (7) Pages

Intellectual Property Copyright © 2015 CASE # 20141026-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47-Page 1 of 1



The Human Rights Tribunal

The Government of The United States of America,
Rural Free Delivery Route 1,
Publication # 105551752097
Box # 22
Sumter county,
State of Florida,
The United States of America,
Global Postal Code-NAC:850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9 A.M. - 8:30 P.M. Monday – Friday
Email: violations@humanrightstribunal.international

Fax Transmittal

February 19, 2015

In the matter of:

Multiple human rights violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Re: **Carolyn Coyne Rousseau**

CASE # 20141026-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47

To: **DAS Risk Management**

1225 Ferry Street SE U150

Salem OR 97310-4287

Fax: (503) 373-7337

Cc: **Marion County Risk Management**

555 Court Street NE, Suite 4250

Salem OR 97310

Fax: (503) 588-7951

Attachments:

1. **Amicus Curiae Brief from the Human Rights Tribunal – (6) pages;**
Total including cover sheet: (7) Pages



The Human Rights Tribunal

Office hours: 9 A.M. - 8:30 P.M. Monday – Friday
Email: violations@humanrightstribunal.international
CASE # 3F40ADF7-F1B8-4D6E-AFCB-009EA5A9AF47

Human Rights Tribunal International
RR1, Box 22
The United States of America,
Global Postal Code-NAC:850H2 MR7C8

In-reference to:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR JACKSON COUNTY

DELANT CORY PALMERTON, POA FOR IRL
CAROLYN COYNE ROUSSEAU,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NANCY DOTY, CLAUDIA M. BURTON, DUNCAN
BRONS, NATASHA BRONS, DOUGLAS K.
KRUTZIKOWSKY,

Defendants.

Case No.: 15CV00478

RULE 21 MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO
STRIKE
(ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED)(20 MINUTES)

Amicus Curiae Brief from the Human Rights Tribunal in the above referenced case

Brief: The Human Rights Tribunal was legally formed under Article 8 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights by National Notice and Publication. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights was officially established in *10 December 1948*. *The writers designed the declaration to establish a legal remedy against private membership associations committing nefarious acts within those organizations, mainly, human rights violations against its members. This particular Human Rights Tribunal continually networks with human rights groups, courts, and associations from all over the world. This Human Rights Tribunal has been welcomed in many circles and praised for the work it does and continues to do every day.*

Overview:

Mr. Palmerton approached the Human Rights Tribunal with concerns over his wife, Carolyn Rousseau over the treatment that both of them have received since 2008 when Mr. Palmerton called for assistance and help for his wife that was internally suffering from missing her dead father and her recently deceased first husband.

The case was particularly interesting because of the ramifications of one phone call and the events that followed. To put it simply, one phone call equaled a life sentence in solitary confinement and loss of all property imposed upon Carolyn Rousseau and Mr. Palmerton.

Further, the sentence that was imposed upon Mr. Palmerton was a life sentence of ridicule, false accusations, public ridicule, ridicule and tones used by the court officers to diminish Mr. Palmerton's character, intentions, actions while simply standing up for his own rights as a husband and a human being.

Further, the Human Rights Tribunal is very concerned as to the well being of Carolyn Rousseau because Mr. Palmerton has been barred from seeing his wife by Nancy Doty. This solitary confinement sentence is extremely disturbing because it is the type of sentence that is imposed upon people suffering a life sentence for a Capital Crime. People on death row are allowed visitors from their family and friends. Carolyn Rousseau has not been allowed any of these privileges since 2009.

Further, Carolyn Rousseau has suffered the loss of all property, the same sentence given to all felons that have committed horrific crimes against society.

Further, Mr. Palmerton has been accused of attempting to illegally take Carolyn Rousseau's property when the case before this court is in the name of Carolyn Rousseau.

Observations:

Mr. Palmerton was instructed by the Human Rights Committee to file a Quiet Title Action against the parties listed above so the Human Rights Tribunal (tribunal) would have a clearer picture as to the attitudes and reactions that would come to light in this particular case.

The tribunal witnessed the following reactions and attitudes coming from Jackson County, Oregon:

1: The Attorney, Patrick J. Kelly called Mr. Palmerton on February 10th, 2015 at 11:30 A.M. Pacific Time where 10 committee members listened to the call.

2: Mr. Kelly, a professional litigator, stammered and stuttered repeatedly when Mr. Palmerton was told to mention possible Human Rights Violations that Mr. Kelly might be guilty of committing in this particular case. The reason Mr. Palmerton was told to make that particular statement was to see if Mr. Kelly showed any type of concern or remorse for Carolyn Rousseau. Apparently, Mr. Kelly has a conscience because of the stammering and stuttering, however is willing to overlook human rights violations and continue to ignore those violations in an effort to show obedience and loyalty to other members of the association. Further, it was predicted that Mr. Kelly would attempt to discredit the Human Rights Tribunal and that prediction was correct.

3: IT IS OBSERVED, that Mr. Kelly knows exactly what happened to Carolyn Rousseau and has declared a personal war against Mr. Palmerton as stated in paragraph 10 in the first sentence on Mr. Kelly's motion to dismiss and seems to enjoy constantly overpowering Mr. Palmerton in an effort to put Mr. Palmerton in his place as a defeated man. Mr. Kelly seems to enjoy violating the rights of Human Beings with private membership association rules that anyone can make up in their head and write down with publication. The tribunal has witnessed many times where private membership associations create a legal trap also known as a legal box to trap potential victims into experiencing nefarious acts against them, even though the victim was never a member of the association.

4: Nancy Doty has displayed sociopathic tendencies in all matters in this particular case and so has Claudia Burton. The committee had the pleasure of watching the proceedings on a tape obtained by Mr. Palmerton. The tape revealed that the members of the private membership association had a meeting before the hearing and had already written in the minutes of the meeting the results of the hearing. The outcome of the case was revealed by the 14th minute of the tape. The rest of the day's proceedings was an effort to play out what had already been

decided by the members of the association. It should also be noted that Nancy Doty was claiming qualifications as a Conservator from other associations that Nancy Doty is also a member. There was no evidence of an independent third party in the court room that day. The lawyer prosecuting the case was also a member of the Guardian Conservators Association where Nancy Doty was a former President. These conditions create a conspiracy to commit human rights violations.

5: It is further observed that Mr. Kelly wrote down everything that happened in this case in his Motion, and then turned around and attacked the Human Rights Tribunal when the Human Rights Tribunal said the same thing in its case citing where the human rights violations had occurred. Mr. Kelly is attempting to evade any human rights violations by attacking the validity of the accusers like any guilty man would do. It should be noted that Mr. Kelly is also placing his clients in danger of human rights violations by not informing them of the nature of the case and how their home was obtained. The allegations against the Human Rights Tribunal of being a sham, irrelevant and frivolous appear to be a case of self projection of Mr. Kelly and other associates.

Facts:

1: All courts derive its authority from the state, and therefore cannot reach outside of state authority to commit any act. The State of Oregon cannot make any law to impair the obligation of a contract and therefore cannot arbitrarily take away Mr. Palmerton's obligation under a power of attorney. Therefore, no court within the same state can arbitrarily revoke Mr. Palmerton's power of attorney that was clearly given to Mr. Palmerton by Carolyn Rousseau almost 10 years earlier.

Mr. Kelly's assertion that the party of interest did not file the Quiet Title Action violates the most fundamental principles of any contract and private membership associations. Mr. Kelly is a member of a private membership association which is an obligation of a contract. Mr. Kelly's assertions are proclaiming that obligations of a contract can only apply to Mr. Kelly and no one else.

According to Mr. Kelly's motion, all other contracts other than those of the Oregon State Bar are frivolous, a sham, and fictitious which is an unethical claim.

Private Membership Association rules only apply to its members. Mr. Palmerton is not with membership in the Oregon State Bar Association, therefore its rules did not have any authority over any private contract by and between Carolyn Rousseau and Mr. Palmerton. Therefore, the power of attorney is still in full force and effect and cannot be deemed an abuse of a justice system. Further, the quit claim deed is also valid under the same principles which is the reasoning behind the first judgment and order of the Human Rights Tribunal.

Therefore, the Human Rights Tribunal is with the authority to witness the multiple human rights violations committed against Carolyn Rousseau regardless of Mr. Palmerton's assertions that

human rights violation did in fact occur and are still being inflicted against Carolyn Rousseau. To ignore these facts is usually with people that have sociopathic tendencies in the opinion of the Tribunal. The tribunal is not concerned with the Real Estate in question at this time, it is concerned for Carolyn Rousseau and her welfare under the dictatorial power exercised by Nancy Doty and further, no one seems to question Nancy Doty, nor even ask why Carolyn Rousseau is in solitary confinement over missing dead relatives' and friends. This particular case is directly related to human rights violations and chain of title and this tribunal is asking the court hearing this case to at least show some compassion for the elderly because if Nancy Doty and associates are allowed to commit human rights violations against one, they can do it to anyone.

Notice;

Do to the information imparted in this brief, please do not resort to attempting to call the volunteers of the Human Rights Tribunal sovereign citizens or domestic terrorists to hide the truth about private membership associations and how they operate. The volunteers consider the aforementioned accusations attempted murder.

Affirmed and Acknowledged by the Human Rights Tribunal on this day, February 19, 2015:



John Harold Fulks



Moses Brian Moss



Thomas Frank Goudey



Gregory Todd Johnstone

DISCLAIMER

Article 20

- (1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.
- (2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

END OF DISCLAIMER

VERIFICATION

I, **Juan Antonio Cenicerros**, (hereinafter "Clerk") hereby verify that the signatures of all International Notaries on this Amicus Curiae Brief from the Human Rights Tribunal in the above referenced case, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.

Juan Antonio Cenicerros



John Harold Fulks



Moses Brian Moss



Thomas Frank Goudey



Gregory Todd Johnstone