

Additional evidence offered up by Daniel Smock on 05-03-2016

- b) From Jan. 2011 to Dec. 2011, respondent continued to convert an arbitrated divorce matter into an involuntary servitude order in which claimant will never be set free, other than by death.
- c) Claimant has continued to object giving no further consent, based on all arbitrated matters are void, with no terms.
- d) In Aug, 2011, the claimant was further accused of injuring the public when it was the intent of the claimant to withdraw any further action from the court based on no judicial jurisdiction of an arbitrated fact.
- e) Claimant demanded to see such any such terms to any arbitrated contract that of which claimant had given consent. The respondent could not provide of which claimant object to further withdraw all consent - stating "No Contract" arbitrated matter.
- f) "Family Code" part 2 –Exhibit E: "No spouse may be presumed primarily liable for support expenses under this subsection", respondent had/has no authority to force claimant to pay any moneys to another person – especially those extending from an arbitrary case matter which held no consented terms.
- g) Furthermore Family Code part 765.01 – Exhibit E: Marriage, is a civil contract, so far as its validity at law is concerned, is a civil contract, to which the consent of the parties capable in law of contracting is essential. NO Terms