



Great Jury  
RR 1, Box# 22  
The United States of America,  
Global Postal Code-NAC:850H2 MR7C8



*“The Future belongs to those who believe in the beauty of their dreams.”  
Eleanor Roosevelt*

## DECLARATION OF INDICTMENT

The Great Jury hereby convenes in the one supreme court under The American National Union of The United States of America and Party, placed under seal of non-public record on December 28, 2017 within the judicial districts of The United States of America, in standing to declare the existence of Human Rights Violations pursuant to matters in claims in the case of:

**CRYSTAL NUTTLE** in the subject matter of exercising her own Human Rights, was victimized and had violations committed against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights agreed upon on the 10<sup>th</sup> of December, 1948, sealed in record, herein placed under seal of non-public record as was set forth in the below case and number.

By the authority of Article 8 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights wherein does read:

### Article VIII

**Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.**

The Great Jury does have the right to declare into existence violations against the

Universal Declaration on Human Rights and hear cases from Alien Tort Claimants based on the Declaration of Rights for the **DECLARED RESIDENT** and affirmed American National for The United States of America, 2012, as amended on February 20, 2017,

Official Copy of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Link: <http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/index.html>

IT IS DECLARED that the state of Arizona, against **CRYSTAL NUTTLE** did knowingly, willfully and intentionally without regard for human rights violate multiple articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; and the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons Especially Women and Children, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime of which the United States of America is a Party as of November 3<sup>rd</sup> 2005,

**AND;**

IN THE GREAT JURY, **CRYSTAL NUTTLE** HAS APPEARED IN PERSON AND CLAIM, in the investigative Record No. 20170119-HRT-NUTC-1229X2017001

**CRYSTAL NUTTLE** with claims under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; and the subject matter of exercising **her human rights** (under seal) with claims represented under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

**VS.**

the state of **Arizona**,

also known as a.k.a. (operating as, under, in fiction, unknown or parallel in The state of Arizona and/or private or not, in corporation or not), under Arizona original actions **Case No's. CR20133427-001, 2CA-CR 2017-0016, 2CA-CR-2017-0282, 2CA-CR 2017-0298**

Comes now, the Great Jury, delivered by its appointee, herein does **Declare** **the Existence of Human Rights violations** identified and committed contrary to the **Universal Declaration of Human Rights**, adopted and exercised in finding(s) as action herein, on aforementioned claim and finding(s).

---

## I. (A) ARIZONA Existence of Human Rights Violations

In these findings, these International incidents have occurred in the U.S. state of Arizona, as a creature within and separate to the State of Arizona, thereby taking the mantle of a people's government to a capacity over the people of the State of Arizona and as a different subset of peoples. This mantle is therein connected as an outlier (see below definition), a separate term representing a Federal government, which is distinct from the creature of the state of Arizona, and head quartered in Manhattan Island, of New York, under the charter of the Patroons, established by the King of the Netherlands. This Federal government: United States operates within the jurisdiction of the other United States, as a corporation designated being the District of Columbia purporting to exist, under its own Municipal laws, as a state within the original Union.

A global document I. And II. Definition - outlier as noun

**noun: outlier; plural noun: outliers**

a person or thing situated away or detached from the main body or system.

a person or thing differing from all other members of a particular group or set.

The creature state of Arizona, owned and operated by Secret Societies and Grand Lodges under its own subset of members, has a protectorate, the U.S. Military and originates from within the city-state of the City of Washington, D.C. The American Bar Association is not proper as plenary, and operates as an outlier to the city of London known as the Crown Temple.

The Crown Temple administrates the Treasury of the Vatican City, operates as an outlier with foreign to states and foreign to Federal Government United States and foreign to the other United States as a corporation, as foreign entity's and foreign Associations, and foreign Charters, and foreign Organizations, contrary to treaties made to create a façade of neutrality, while keeping arm's length of nefarious acts. The implementation of a Military theology, branded on the court houses of the U.S. Court with the Free Mason compass and exercising the Equestrian Order of the Holy Sepulcher, a sovereign city state created by Vatican City and operating as creatures to the Origination States of the Union.

These above said creatures of the 48 states, change. Alter and modify the state and status as to the proper capacity of the workings when compared to 48 States by means of deception, non-disclosure, redefinition of meaning, to mislead by means of religion, over time, as private company's by operating as private

corporations, for a creature of state charters, creature of a county, creature or municipal or city charter, being redefined into metro's and city-states. Therefore, by displacing and taking the mantle of government of the state, posing as original States, an arbitrary deception occurs and is forced unknowing on the people of the State of Arizona, regardless of political status under a redefinition of U.S. citizen, whereby that status, standing, and character is thereby not properly achieved nor vetted.

The U.S. state of Arizona with a population and culture of people cannot self-proclaim authorization to self-operate the creature of the state, whereby knowledge and consent of the people was not admitted, thereby creating adverse violations under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and various sections of the War crimes doctrine. Section 22 of "Elements of Crimes" published in 2011 by the International Criminal Court clearly states the following: Section 22. "The transfer, directly or indirectly, by the Occupying Power of parts of its own civilian population into the territory it occupies, or the deportation or transfer of all or parts of the population of the occupied territory within or outside this territory."

### **Elements**

1. The accused:
  - (a) Transferred, 36 directly or indirectly, parts of its own population into the territory it occupies; or
  - (b) Deported or transferred all or parts of the population of the occupied territory within or outside this territory.
2. The conduct took place in the context of and was associated with an international armed conflict.

The accused was aware of factual circumstances that established the existence of an armed conflict.

In the 1913 revised statutes of the U.S. state of Arizona, it proclaimed the existence of Fraternal and Secret Societies, chapter 6, under the members of the Grand Lodge or division acting under the authority under any Grand Lodge or the rules of its society. Specifically, it can sue or be sued in any federal court else loose its license to operate. The society property real or personnel is allowed by Devise, which is a testamentary disposition of land or realty or a gift of real property by the last will and testament of the donor. When used as a noun, it means a testamentary of disposition of real or Personal Property, and when used as a verb, it means to dispose of real or personal property by will, also as grant, gift or purchase for

trustee's. Since the people of the State of Arizona have been trafficked into the aforesaid grand society and with no one holding an office to remove adversarial society's and its licensing, whereby it renders its own society scheme with self-proclaimed immunity, creates improper capacity, using a Political Contingency to the State of Arizona people.

**I. (B) Formulation under general laws: Revised Code of Arizona  
Section 2:**

Corporations may be formed under general laws, but shall not be created by special acts. Laws relating to corporations may be altered, amended, limited, and restrained by law.

The officers under the creature of the U.S. state of Arizona, have amended the Constitution of the State of Arizona, thereby publishing an Arizona Constitution, therefore are not the same, and changed or altered the titles thereof. Sedition and Treason has been implemented on the peoples of the State of Arizona.

The actions applied under the state of Arizona, is trafficking of all its people of the State of Arizona, an effect to deny the people access to government, the political process, open election, a Nationality, the sovereign collective powers as an independent State, which is transferred into something else of international crimes ignored by the Department of Justice of the U.S., Vatican City and Manhattan Island of New York.

The U.S. state of Arizona is an international corporation, and subject to and operating under the rules of private international law, therefore is subject to the **Universal Declaration of Human Rights** and the "**The Convention on The Rights of The Child**," under the customary international law and vacant of any rule or stipulation otherwise, by officers of corporation(s).

The U.S. state of Arizona (herein after referred to as "**Creature state of Arizona**") did knowingly and intentionally commit certain human rights violations listed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, as it would have had to create and implement said conditions and status, and then operate same, therefore it imprinted upon the victims, as listed herein of the above style claims as found and identified herein this subject-matter.

The revised statutes of Arizona, a.k.a. or similar to the Rules Code of Washington (RCW), is a product to commit the enclosed herein, Universal Declaration of Human Rights adversarial status, under corporations, associations, and charters, whereby when these are not a government, exacerbates the offense when these acting as governments and are not governments in the true meaning thereof, but operate as if they were in an official government and body with powers

granted as if was in a proper capacity. The officer of the “Creature state of Arizona” cannot claim a sovereign immunity and then these officer(s) claim and impose a privacy violation(s), as the corporation, charters and associations operating as fronts for the National Lawyers Guild, the bulwark of the Communist party; are in a claim of being a government of The State of Arizona and therefore operate without standing as the “**Creature state of Arizona.**”

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY DECLARED**, the jurors under this Great Jury, by view and survey has identified Section I., ARIZONA, Existence of Human Rights violations, has occurred under the “**Creature state of Arizona** to now be served and brought into a Judgment before the Human Rights Tribunal for remedy upon the following violations established by Section I: ARIZONA, Existence of Human Rights violations:

**ARIZONA, Existence of Human Rights Violations as  
UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS**

**CRYSTAL NUTTLE, hereinafter Affiant.**

**Article 1.**

All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Affiant was born free and equal in dignity and rights, Affiant is endowed with reasoning and conscience. In exercising Affiant’s right and responsibility to act towards others in a spirit of brotherhood Affiant acted to save the life and body of Romeo Riley from severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS, foster care provider, Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators; Affiant was arrested and tried for custodial interference.

**Article 2.**

Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.

Affiant had a religious belief that Affiant had no other alternative than to act to save the life and safety of Romeo Riley.

*“The Good Samaritan. We know him as the traveler who, for no other reason than a desire to help a fellow human being, stopped on the road to Jericho to help a man*

*who had been beaten and bloodied by robbers. The Samaritan was not forced to stop and help, and was not bound by any law to stop and help. But, where others had passed and ignored the battered and helpless victim, the Samaritan stopped, bandaged his wounds, and took him to an inn to recover. It was a gratuitous act for which the Samaritan sought no reward and by his actions the man was saved.”*

### Article 3.

Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

Affiant was denied Affiant’s rights to liberty and security of Affiant’s person. Affiant was arrested and tried for custodial interference, a class six felony. Affiant’s liberty was curtailed, and Affiant was unduly punished for saving the life and security of a minor child from severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster care provider, Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors refused to investigate the reported severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster care provider, Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators; Affiant had no other option to save Romeo Riley

### Article 4.

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Affiant saw that the severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators amounted to “**child slavery**” and “**child trafficking**” Affiant was forced by Affiant’s humanity and Affiant’s religion to take the action necessary to halt these human rights violations against a child. Which, eventually placed Affiant in jeopardy of Affiant’s own freedom.

### Article 5.

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Affiant was subjected to degrading treatment by being arrested, tried, and found guilty of custodial interference, a class six felony, for rescuing Romeo Riley from severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors failed to perform duties and responsibilities to investigate the reports of abuse committed against Romeo Riley by foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS, and co-perpetrators, there would have been no reason for Affiant to have been forced to take action to save the life and safety of Romeo Riley.

Article 6.

Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law. Affiant was presumed guilty as a person before the law. Affiant's courage to take action to rescue Romeo Riley from Margo More did not ignore the responsibility as a person to act. Affiant was ignored as a "person" before the law.

Article 7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination. Affiant was not afforded equal protection of the law by the creature state of Arizona and state actors as afforded to Margo More. The silence and failure of the state actors to investigate multiple reports of severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS and co-perpetrators, committed against Romeo Riley amounted to "**child slavery**" and "**child trafficking**" was ignored in totality while; The humanitarian actions of Affiant were attacked with ferocity and evidence along with the extenuating circumstances were ignored by the creature state of Arizona and state actors.

Article 8.

Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law. Creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant effective remedy, denied fundamental rights granted by the constitution or by law because Affiant's person on paper was removed arbitrarily from land of the U.S. states to offshore territories of U.S. and applied a foreign entity constitution. (*Declaration of the Charter of Judges, Roma Italy.*)

Article 9.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile. The arrest, detention, and exile of Affiant was arbitrary with the intended sole purpose to ignore the real perpetrators; the creature state of Arizona and state



actors. The overreaction of the creature state of Arizona and state actors placed Affiant in legal jeopardy, and was inconsistent with the actions and circumstances which, brought Affiant to act to save Romeo Riley.

Article 10.

Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

Affiant was denied a fair and public hearing. Affiant was threatened and coerced to enter into a plea bargain. The entire case was held far from any accountability or oversight. Exculpatory evidence of severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS and co-perpetrators documented within the CPS/DCS case file was willfully and knowingly suppressed by the creature state of Arizona and state actors.

Article 11.

(1) Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence.

Affiant guilt was pre-determined and Affiant's right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty was denied. Initially Affiant's legal defense was competent, but during the proceedings Affiant's defense attorney was impacted by some force to discontinue appropriate legal and competent defense. The audio of December 19, 2016 recording between Affiant and Judge Carmine Cornelio clearly exhibits the frustration and preconceived guilt of Affiant by this judge.

(2) No one shall be held guilty of any penal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offence was committed.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors willfully withheld evidence of the severe and life threatening sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional abuse by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More, a service provider contracted with DCS and co-perpetrators, which would have clearly vindicated and exonerated Affiant's reasonable and prudent actions.

Article 12.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or

correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks. Affiant's honour, religion, faith, and moral character were infringed upon and Affiant's reputation was arbitrarily attacked, which conflicts with Affiant's religious beliefs, Affiant's "Good Samaritan" philosophy, Affiant's duty as a mandated reporter and Minister, Affiant's moral compass, and moral dogma to help children being sexually abused, physically abused, and emotionally abused by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More and co-perpetrators.

**Article 13.**

(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors restricted Affiant's freedom of movement was curtailed physically, economically, and religiously as Affiant is now labeled a "criminal," and outwardly or silently restricted from the freedom to move throughout society effectively.

**Article 14.**

**No Offense Identified**

**Article 15.**

(1) Everyone has the right to a nationality.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors arbitrarily claimed jurisdiction over Affiant. The creature state of Arizona operates solely with a Masonic court, which is an association, and thereby Affiant is not a political constituent or party thereof.

The acting state, is of the creature of the state, a state operating with in a State, and is a fraud against a people. (See exhibit name PDF attached to indictment Rod Class 11 CVS 1559 in Judge Ridgeway's ruling Originated from a Ruling in error in Class v. NORTH CAROLINA, Case No. 10 DOT 7047) (See PDF)

**Article 16.**

**No Offense Identified**

**Article 17.**

(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors did knowingly and unlawfully deny Affiant's intangible and tangible property rights as the creature state of Arizona and state actors labeled Affiant as a "criminal."

Article 18.

Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors infringed upon Affiant's right to Affiant's religious elements, behaviors, and even suggestions or inferences about religion with the threat of being thrown out of the proceedings and held in contempt. Affiant is now impacted by fear and further retribution if Affiant manifests the subject of religion and beliefs to teach, practice, worship, and observe religious responsibilities to other human beings, adult and child. Affiant's choice to assist in the rescue of Romeo Riley was a religious responsibility.

Article 19.

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors infringed upon Affiant's right to Affiant's religious elements, behaviors, and even suggestions or inferences about religion with the threat of being thrown out of the proceedings and held in contempt. Affiant is now impacted by fear and further retribution if Affiant manifests the subject of religion and beliefs to teach, practice, worship, and observe religious responsibilities to other human beings, adult and child. Affiant's choice to assist in the rescue of Romeo Riley was a religious responsibility.

Article 20.

(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant the right to associate with Paula Flowe, Debbi Ramos, and Teketa Williams to prevent the presentment of evidence, information and details of the case. The creature state of Arizona and state actors have restricted Affiant from associating with families/children that are involved with CPS/DCS.

(2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors attempted to force Affiant into an association with anyone, and everyone charged with a penal offence.

Article 21.

(1) Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors infringed upon Affiant’s right to take part as an active member in Arizona government, particularly Affiant’s decision to run for Senate in 2016.

Article 22.

Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant the right to social security (within society), the right to be secure in society to include but not limited to economic, social and cultural rights. Affiant’s dignity and development were infringed upon Affiant as a Christian, a defender of children, child advocate, and Affiant’s ability to teach and model Christian beliefs to others is restricted after being labeled a “criminal.”

Article 23.

(1) Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant’s free choice of employment since Affiant has been labeled a “criminal.”

Article 24.

No Offense Identified

Article 25.

No Offense Identified

Article 26.

No Offense Identified

Article 27.

No Offense Identified

Article 28.

Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant the entitlement of social and international order which affirms the Rights and Freedoms set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. (UDHR). This is evidenced by multiple violations within the 30 Articles delineated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. (UDHR)

Article 29.

(1) Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant the right to implement Affiant's "duties to the community" as explained in the Christian scriptures in the Holy Bible, and specifically the teaching and implementation of the "Good Samaritan" philosophy based in scripture evidenced by the audio recording of December 19, 2016 expressly between Judge Carmine Cornelio and Crystal Nuttle, whereby Judge Carmine Cornelio demonstrated a grave, clear bias, disregard for, disrespect of, and frustration with the Christian religious beliefs expressed by Crystal Nuttle.

(2) In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.

The creature state of Arizona and state actors denied Affiant the right and freedom as a Minister, a Christian, a defender of children, a child advocate, and as a state mandated reporter to assist in the rescue of a child who was reportedly being sexually abused, physically abused, and emotionally abused by CPS/DCS foster person Margo More and co-perpetrators.

Article 30.

**No Offense Identified**



***This Great Jury has identified Violations in accordance with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.***

*Acknowledged and Affirmed on this day of Date January 4, 2018  
The Foreman of The Great Jury of The United States of America*

*Steven R. Isham 1-4-18*