Statement of Facts

Comes now Matt C. Davee (Hereinafter "Affiant") qualified and competent to testify and being over the age of 21; after first being duly sworn according to law to tell the truth to the facts related herein, states that affiant has first hand knowledge of the facts stated accurately and to the best of the affiant's knowledge herein.

A 'change of venue' was filed into their record on 7.27.18 The county of Yavapai attempted to contract with the affiant, on 8.28.18 in a new contract from the adult probation division. It was set for 9.18.18 even though the affiant was under duress upon entering the facilities. This was one day after their next pre-trial conference that was originally set for 9.17.18 This was somehow reverted to 9.12.18. This change happened on Saturday by 3rd party interlopers in contact with the affiant's mother. Their private company hearing had been penciled in for October 2,3,4, and 5th 2018. It was somehow reverted back to 9.19, 20, and 21st, 2018. This was reputedly for police officers who attended to the county's claim that was made 6.09.15 None of these changes were offered to the affiant neither by formal notice or prior written consent. It appears to be a bait and switch tactic.

The affiant entered their headquarters on 8.28.18 in diplomatic fashion; and handed their officer a printout of the 'change of venue' for her records, yet there is the matter of attempted contracting. Ignorance of the law is no excuse but neither is the county's lackadaisical approach to communication. The attempted contracting must imply that the 'change of venue' was denied; and from 3rd party interlopers. It also implies that future attempted contracting could happen and presents that possible human rights violations are possible including the threat of physical restraint.

Furthermore, 3rd party interlopers have attempted to coerce the affiant into their tribunal by exploiting the affiant's mother who has been set into a serious financial legal box with expensive collateral at hand, with obligations for the affiant to enter their foreign venue. Also, there is the matter of pre-trial release conditions that are the origins of the financial risk. The conditions were set by judge Tina Ainley. The affiant's mother has over twelve thousand invested in the affiant's former bar attorney Ken Ray. While Mr. Ray has a vested interest in the tribunal's outcome as well as good intentions; there are things that appear out of order. For instance why didn't they schedule the officers for the time in October? All the sudden they switch the time back to September. So now everyone else has to make new plans in their schedule to accommodate the needs of a private company?

Furthermore, the affiant's mother has a social compact with the county; along with a considerable work history. She has a grand daughter incarcerated over substance abuse allegations. As the sum total has caused her insurmountable pressure from legal abuse trauma.

C. Kenneth Ray II, PC Pllc, POB. 2521, Prescott, AZ 86302-2521, 928-717-9352

The affiant was compelled to comply in that manner of implied consent due to the financial obligations tied into their private company as means of compelling the affiant to appear at their foreign venue. The affiant also faces possible human rights violations for doing so, and the threat of physical restraint by entering their company.