



## The Human Rights Tribunal International

The Government of The United States of America  
RR1 Box #4  
The United States of America  
Global Postal Code-NAC: 850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9:00 - 8:30 UTC-6 Monday - Friday  
Website: <http://humanrightstribunal.international>  
Email: [hrtiadmin@generalpostoffice.org](mailto:hrtiadmin@generalpostoffice.org)



The Human Rights Tribunal  
Email: [hrtiadmin@generalpostoffice.org](mailto:hrtiadmin@generalpostoffice.org)

In the matter of  
the Government of The United States of America

v

the directors of the Southern Poverty Law Center: 1) TEENIE HUTCHISON 2) WENDY VIA 3) LISA GRAYBILL 4) HEIDI BEIRICH 5) MAUREEN COSTELLO 6) DAVID DINIELLI 7) Tina Tchen

CASE NUMBER: #HRTI-77L3-4V74-N32R-01E9-T64N7C6S8H82Y40P

### JUDGMENT

Comes now, a Judgment and Order from **The Human Rights Tribunal International**,

WHEREAS, the tort claimant has claimed within the evidence submitted to this tribunal and published for over 90 days in the newspaper that the Respondents have and continue to commit violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

( <http://reignoftheheavens.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/20191116-HRTI-NOTICE-OF-HEARING-W-SUPPORTING-DOCS.pdf> )

WHEREAS, the tort claimant has claimed multiple violations under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights on all Thirty (30) Articles, and

WHEREAS, the Respondents committed multiple Human Rights violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights starting with Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood. "

Violations of Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Multiple violations of Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights places the claimant in harm's way and is in no way promoting freedom of thought and deeds which would be considered in the spirit of brotherhood, and

WHEREAS, Respondents violate the claimant's rights under Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; "Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**  
Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103

Judgement and Order- Page 1 of 1

this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.”

Violations of Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents violated Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights placing the claimant in the condition marked for genocide. Respondents did knowingly, willfully and intentionally fund and implement an ongoing campaign to train federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies to profile and treat with fear and extreme prejudice any thoughts, ideas, or speech that the Claimants may use by exercising their right to self-determination through act and deed to legally separate themselves from the jurisdiction, and survey of the United States (U.S.). Respondents are creating a scenario where law enforcement personnel profile claimants as a direct threat and danger to themselves and even their families and possibly their entire way of life. respondents have placed “Reign of Heaven Society” ( <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/ideology/antigovernment> ) as an anti-government hate group. When typed into the search engine “Reign of Heaven Society” there is no such group. There is only one blog post full of false statements written by a convicted Human Rights violator. There is no group called “Reign of Heaven Society” and yet it is listed on the respondent’s web site as an anti-government hate group to be feared and treated with extreme prejudice up to and including genocide. The following links are evidence that the respondents have no regard for the truth and only seeks to harm the claimant.

( <http://annavonreitz.com/reignofheavensociety.pdf> )

( <http://www.paulstramer.net/2016/12/what-i-know-about-reign-of-heaven.html> )

( <https://nesaranews.blogspot.com/2016/12/the-reign-of-heaven-society.html> )

( <https://mainerepublicemailalert.com/2016/12/07/what-i-know-about-the-reign-of-heaven-society-and-the-united-states-of-america/> ).

There is however a private membership association with published articles of association called, The “Fraternal Order of reign of the heavens society”

This is not an anti-government, anti-law enforcement PMA.

( <http://nationalgreatregistry.generalpostoffice.international/images/4/49/20161002-RHS-FOROHS-ART-ORG-.pdf> )

( <http://americanherald.org/?p=921> )

Any and all attempts at defamation in the on-line blog posts are not withstanding and only seek to confuse and convert law enforcement agents into mercenary assassins. By listing a fake group on their anti-government hate group web page the Respondents are thereby inciting religious and political persecution, and in fact have perpetrated religious and political profiling with targeting for assassination of an entire Government. The respondents have been so successful at convincing law enforcement personnel that there is no other jurisdiction or Survey, and that the only statuses are Authority and Subject, master and slave. Any mention that the Claimant is not subject to federal, state, or local codes triggers law enforcement into



extreme fear taught to them by the doctrine of the Respondents. So well perpetrated is this religious and political persecution that it has placed the claimant in danger every day since, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.”

Violations of Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents conspired to violate Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights placing the claimant in danger because respondents have deceived law enforcement into becoming a militarized force of assassins which is a direct affront to the right to life and liberty. Incitement to violence by this militarized force of assassins employed to terrorize and detain all persons with any thought, idea, or speech that is not approved by the Respondents, which is a direct violation of this Article. The Respondents are using lies and misleading statements of third party convicted Human Rights violators to convince all levels of law enforcement that the Countries of The United States of America, and the Reign of the Heavens, the governments and societies thereof are subject to the United States (U.S.) which they are not. False and misleading statements violate the security of person even though the un rebutted claims stand as evidence to their rightful place among the family of Nations, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; “No one shall be held in slavery or servitude;

Slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms. “

Violations of Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: The claimant has been under constant attack and defending against enslavement and uncovering multiple attacks upon the claimant emanating from the respondents network of religious not for profit corporations, companies, affiliate organizations, mercenary forces of indoctrinated law enforcement personnel. This stands as violations of Article 4 by convincing third parties into active programs of genocide toward an entire population, dictating a foreign political, legal, and religious doctrine (world communist ideology) onto the claimant, attempting to steal the power of attorney of the claimant by halting freedom of speech, the attempted enslavement of the claimant through denial of self-determination to claim a nationality. Which seems to be the focal point of this case, Freedom to choose. There is no freedom if there is no choice. The claimant provides evidence of a claim with chain of title to be a Government on its own survey thereby creating an alternative to the United States. The respondents try to eliminate freedom of choice to enslave the claimant which illustrates the disregard the respondent has for all 30 articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights(as well as the Law of Nations), and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.”

Violations of Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:



The claimant has been denied the use of authorized government issued Identification has been under constant attack by law enforcement leading to multiple accounts of torture and to cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment through punishment for claiming to be separate but equal to the United States with full rights under a social compact agreement to be within the meets and bounds of The United States of America. All the false statements and continual attempts at enslavement and defamation upon the reputation, health and safety of the claimant emanating from respondents has no standing, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 6 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.”

Violations of Article 6 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

The claimant’s claims stand un rebutted to have an established National Government and that the Country, Government, Nationals, and declared Residents have been under attack by third party proxies for the respondents. These proxies are under the influence and being directly instructed to disregard any and all evidence as to the legitimate international character of the Claimant. Even though the claimant needs no recognition from the respondent to realize its standing among the family of Nations, the interference by the respondent has impeded recognition of the international character of the Claimant, which is a violation, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.”

Violation of Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

The Claimant has been under attack by third party proxies for the respondents. These proxies are under the influence and being directly instructed to discriminate against the legitimate international character of the Claimant. The respondent has redefined and weaponized the term “Tolerance” which the respondent uses to label any group who do not agree with the respondent’s world communist ideology to be profiled and persecuted as “Hate groups”. Whereas the Claimant will not be labeled as a “Hate Group” because the claimant does not accept the respondent’s “Tolerance” Ideology. The evidence shows that the respondents are using donated funds to enforce the doctrine of a foreign religion by training school age children to hate any and all who do not subscribe to “Tolerance” as the respondent defines the term. This tactic stands as international subterfuge of the children and leaves the future generation helpless against moral degradation with a direct assault against the family, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; “No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.”

Violation of Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:



Respondents repeatedly train school administrators, teachers, students along with all levels of law enforcement to exile claimant through trafficking of persons from its claimed jurisdiction, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant's rights under Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks. "

Violations of Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to convert the international record confusing social and international order which is an act of international terrorism against the claimant. The respondent has redefined and weaponized the term "Tolerance" thereby enforcing a foreign religion and foreign political ideology into the claimant. The respondent uses foreign religious and political terminology to label any group who does not agree with the respondent's communist ideology to be persecuted as "Hate groups". Whereas the Claimant will not be labeled as a "Hate Group" because the claimant does not accept the respondent's "Tolerance" Ideology. This label is used to incite violence, enslavement, and exile through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State. (2) Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country."

Violations of Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents did repeatedly place on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite fear, violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of law enforcement to regard claimants' credentials and human rights compliant drivers licenses as fake. Thereby restricting the movement of the claimant and denying reentry into claimant's country, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 14 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"(1) Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution. (2) This right may not be invoked in the case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations. "

Violations of Article 14 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents have continued to deny the right to self-determination through their efforts to persecute the claimant as dangerous criminals even though the claimant's actions are of a political and religious nature, and



WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to a nationality. (2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.”

Violations of Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents did repeatedly train law enforcement agencies to fear and incite violence, enslavement, and exile through trafficking in persons against the claimant through manipulation of public record against the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to deny the claimants un rebutted claim to the proper chain of title to a Country named The United States of America. Any confusion or doubt created by the respondents is wholly without merit and cause.

( <http://theunitedstatesofamerica1781.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/THE-REIGN-OF-THE-HEAVENS-SOCIETY-AMICUS-CURIAE.pdf> ) ,and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.”

Violations of Article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Article 18 was violated as respondents have placed “Reign of Heaven Society” ( <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/ideology/antigovernment> ) as an anti-government hate group. When typed into the search engine “Reign of Heaven Society” there is no such group. There is only one blog post full of false statements written by a convicted Human Rights violator. There is no group called “Reign of Heaven Society” and yet it is listed on the respondent’s web site as an anti-government hate group to be feared and treated with extreme prejudice.

There is however a private membership association with published articles of association called, “Fraternal Order of reign of the heavens society” This is not an anti-government anti-law enforcement PMA. Any and all attempts at defamation in the on-line blog posts are not withstanding and only seek to confuse and convert law enforcement agents into mercenary assassins. The Respondents are using lies and misleading statements to convince all levels of law enforcement that The United States of America, and the Reign of the Heavens, are subject to the United States (U.S.) which they are not, they exist on different surveys and different spheres., and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers. “

Violations of Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:



Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts written by a convicted Human Rights violator, with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association. (2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association.”

Violations of Article 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to convert the international record confusing social and international order which is an act of international terrorism against the claimant. The respondent has redefined and weaponized the term “Tolerance” thereby enforcing a foreign religion and foreign political ideology into the claimant. The respondent uses foreign religious and political terminology to label any group who does not agree with the respondent’s communist ideology to be persecuted as “Hate groups”. Whereas the Claimant will not be labeled as a “Hate Group” because the claimant does not accept the respondent’s “Tolerance” Ideology. This label is used to incite violence, enslavement, and exile through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause forced association toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives. (2) Everyone has the right to equal access to public service in his country. (3) The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will and shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.”

Violations of Article 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to convert the international record confusing social and international order which is an act of international terrorism against the claimant. In order to incite violence, enslavement, and exile through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 22 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 22 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;



Article 22 Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

Violations of Article 22 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to convert the international record confusing social and international order which is an act of international terrorism against the claimant. In order to incite violence, enslavement, and exile through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 23 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

Article 23 1. Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment. 2. Everyone, without any discrimination, has the right to equal pay for equal work. 3. Everyone who works has the right to just and favorable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection. 4. Everyone has the right to form and to join trade unions for the protection of his interests.

Violations of Article 23 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

Article 25 1. Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control. 2. Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children, whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection.

Violations of Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 26 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;





Article 26 1. Everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit. 2. Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups, and shall further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace. 3. Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children.

Violations of Article 26 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 27 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

Article 27 1. Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.

2. Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author.

Violations of Article 27 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents Violated the claimant rights under Article 28 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized. “

Violations of Article 28 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents have violated this Article due to conversion of the international record confusing social and international order, and is an act of international terrorism against the claimant, and

WHEREAS, Respondents, Violated the claimant rights under Article 29 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible. (2) In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society. (3) These rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations. “



Violations of Article 29 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:  
Respondents placed a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts with intent to write lies to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant which inhibits freedom of choice to a Nationality and continues to attack the process of free choice and self-determination, and

WHEREAS, Respondents, Violated the claimant rights under Article 30 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

Article 30 Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein.

Violations of Article 30 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Incitement to violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of law enforcement to cause confusion toward the claimant aims to destroy all the rights and freedoms of the UDHR.



Kevin Lloyd Lakes  
Christopher T. Milowski  
Trent Windsley Sailor

## JUDGMENT

NOW THEREFORE:

BE IT JUDGED, that the claims brought to the Human Rights Tribunal International gives witness to the existence of Human Rights Violations against every one of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights have occurred, and that the evidence proves that respondents did place a fake group on its watch list based upon a discredited on-line blog posts written by a convicted human rights violator with intent to incite violence, enslavement, and exile against the claimant through manipulation of opinion of United States(U.S.) law enforcement to cause confusion and hatred toward the claimant. The respondent have also redefined and weaponized the term "Tolerance" which the respondent uses to label any group or person who do not agree with the respondent's world communist ideology to be profiled and persecuted as "Hate groups".

Whereas the Claimant will not be labeled as a "Hate Group" because the claimant does not



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**  
Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103

Judgement and Order- Page 10 of 10

accept the respondent's "Tolerance" Ideology. The evidence shows that the respondents are using internationally donated funds to enforce the doctrine of a foreign religion by training school age children to hate any and all who do not subscribe to "Tolerance" as the respondent defines the term. This tactic stands as international subterfuge of the children and leaves the future generation helpless against moral degradation with a direct assault against the family. These repeated attempts to steal power of attorney, manipulation of Law Enforcement opinion to cause confusion, have no standing and make clear the intention of the Respondents to inhibit freedom of speech, and choice to a Nationality and continues to attack along with acts of subversion undermining the process of free will and choice toward self-determination which are shocking to the conscience and a violation of all thirty articles of the Universal declaration of Human Rights.

Affirmed and Acknowledged by the Human Rights Tribunal on this 301st Day in the year of Yahweh 6021 Translation (14<sup>th</sup> Day of January 2020)



*Kevin Lloyd Lakes*

*Christopher T. Milowski*

*Trent Windsley Sailor*

Kevin Lloyd Lakes  
Christopher T. Milowski  
Trent Windsley Sailor

#### VERIFICATION

I, Valerie Zicaro, (hereinafter "Clerk") hereby verify that the signatures of these three International Notaries on this Judgment and order, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.

Valerie Zicaro, Clerk for the Court



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**  
Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103

In the matter of

the Government of The United States of America

v

the directors of the Southern Poverty Law Center: 1) TEENIE HUTCHISON 2) WENDY VIA 3) LISA GRAYBILL 4) HEIDI BEIRICH 5) MAUREEN COSTELLO 6) DAVID DINIELLI 7) Tina Tchen

CASE NUMBER: #HRTI-77L3-4V74-N32R-01E9-T64N7C6S8H82Y40P

## ORDERS

BE IT ORDERED, that the Respondents are Human Rights violators and are under arrest for the 30 Human Rights Violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights due to the fact that the violation of Article 4 (slavery in all its forms) is a violation of all 30 articles. Slavery and enforcing a foreign religion upon a population is a violation of international law, thereby giving Interpol jurisdiction on the local, state and federal level in this particular case if it so chooses to intervene, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that the SPLC web site <https://www.splcenter.org/> take down all references to "Reign of Heaven Society" intended or implied to implicate the claimant as anti-government or any other designation as a hate group.

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that all freedoms, liberties, rights, privileges, immunities, reputation in good standing, Nationality, and peace is hereby upheld to the claimant, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that any further evidence of violations of the UDHR or any other deprivation of character will be sent to the Law Department for the Government of The United States of America for further review for possible further Human Right Violations under international law, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that no retaliation or harassment against the Government of The United States of America, its office holders, any other American National, or Fraternal Order of reign of the heavens society member shall be tolerated, any and all retaliation by any and all shall be resisted with automatic arrest of the Human Rights Violator and tried in this Human Rights Tribunal immediately upon a proper affidavit and evidence to support the violation, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that any articles on the internet that attempt to distort the history of The United States of America, the Government of The United States of America, and the American Nationals thereof, is an attempt to interfere with the Social Compact Agreement of its people and is an act of international terrorism against The United States of America and in violation of the UDHR, and



FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that the Law Department for the Government of The United States of America reserves the right to utilize this Judgment and Order and any and all evidence herewith in any future charges or court actions in this or other court of Law.

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that this Judgment and Order be sent to The office of the Treasury for The United States of America, Global Postal Code: NAC: 850H2 MR7C8-0007, The United States of America For the purpose of attaching a fine for the monetary damages associated with Violations of all 30 Articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

## GENERAL ORDER

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED UNDER GENERAL ORDER: that any and all Foreign Monarchs, Governments, incorporated and/or unincorporated Associations, Agencies or agents thereof are hereby ordered to cease and desist any and all interference or disruptive actions towards The United States of America, the Government of The United States of America, American Nationals, the Social Compact Agreement of its Nationals, the power of attorney, its Law form, and freedoms thereto, and

Affirmed and Acknowledged by the Human Rights Tribunal on this 301st Day in the year of Yahweh 6021 Translation (14<sup>th</sup> Day of January 2020)



Kevin Lloyd Lakes

Christopher T. Milowski

Trent Windsley Sailor

I, Valerie Zicaro, (hereinafter “Clerk”) hereby verify that the signatures of these three International Notaries on this Judgment and order, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.

Valerie Zicaro, Clerk for the Court



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**  
Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103



Government of The United States of America  
Rural Free Delivery Route 1  
**office of the registrar**

Box #4  
The United States of America  
Global Postal Code-NAC: 850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9:00 - 9:00 UTC-6 Monday - Friday  
Phone: (602) 845-0473  
Email: [registrar@generalpostoffice.org](mailto:registrar@generalpostoffice.org)



**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I, **Alice Cenicerros**, certify **under penalty of bearing false witness** under the laws of The United States of America **that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct** according to the best of my current information, knowledge, and belief.

The office of the registrar accepts and acknowledges the document:

JUDGMENT AND ORDER –  
CASE # HRTI-77L3-4V74-N32R-01E9-T64N7C6S8H82Y40P

In the matter of In the matter of the **Government of The United States of America v the directors of the Southern Poverty Law Center: 1) TEENIE HUTCHISON 2) WENDY VIA 3) LISA GRAYBILL 4) HEIDI BEIRICH 5) MAUREEN COSTELLO 6) DAVID DINIELLI 7) Tina Tchen**

and is recorded on:

<b>301<sup>st</sup> day in the year of Yahweh, 6021</b>	<b>8:41 UTC-6</b>	<b>RH-20200114-4C18-99F2-7C6S8H82Y40P</b>
Document Date	Time	Record File Number
<i>Translated Date: January 14, 2020</i>		

**File Name: 20200114-HRTI-SPLC-JUDGEMENT AND ORDER**

**CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORDED DOCUMENT**

*This is a true and exact reproduction of the document officially recorded and placed on file in the office of the registrar for The United States of America.*

Date Received: **310<sup>th</sup> day in the year of Yahweh, 6021**  
Date Issued: **316<sup>th</sup> day in the year of Yahweh, 6021**  
*Translated Date: January 29, 2020*

*This copy is not valid unless displaying the Record File Number, Seal, and signature of the registrar for The United States of America.*



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**  
Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103