



**American National Union of  
The United States of America**  
State of Louisiana judicial district #19  
Address: 7B2SQ N4WMB  
Great Jury Foreperson  
NAC: 78T8T N6J3R  
Email: [gjforeperson@generalpostoffice.org](mailto:gjforeperson@generalpostoffice.org)



**THE GREAT JURY FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL UNION OF**

**THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

## **True Bill of Indictment**

***Claimant: Government of The United States of America v.***

***Respondent: Robin DiAngelo***

The Great Jury was accepted and acknowledged by the *American National Union of The United States of America* in Social Compact in accordance with the Law of Nations and is with jurisdiction and venue within the metes and bounds and seaward boundaries of The United States of America; and

The Great Jury for the American National Union of The United States of America hereby informs the Chief Notary of the Human Rights Tribunal International that the Great Jury convened to hear evidence presented by the claimant; and

It has been determined from the evidence presented that Robin DiAngelo (hereinafter “respondent”) is perpetuating a racist ideology using terms like “white privilege,” “inclusion” and “unconscious bias” that aim to negatively reinforce a subset of morals thereby destroying humanity by attacking society and morality wherein the inhabitants being vacant a social compact agreement are indoctrinated into communist ideology on a mass scale. This movement as the evidence will show has infiltrated campuses around the country. The respondent is the figure head of this societal cancer as the evidence referenced below conveys and is hereby charged with the political crime of communism which stands as a violation of Article 34 of the Bilateral Social Compact Agreement by and between the people for The United States of America where in states:

**Article 34:** The people for The United States of America agree that Communism is hereby outlawed.

The evidence excerpted from a June 5<sup>th</sup>, 2020 CBS This Morning interview and referenced below

***“Certainly, Thank you for having me. White Privilege is the automatic taken for granted advantage bestowed upon white people as a result of living in a society based on the premise of white as the human ideal, and that from its founding established white advantage as a matter of law and today as a matter of policy and practice. It doesn’t matter if you agree with it if you want it if you are even aware of it, its Twenty-four seven three sixty five, and one of the reasons why its so hard for white people to see it. Well there are many reasons but one is it serves us not to see it. We come to feel entitled to that***

*advantage were told that we deserve it, and that we've earned it, and we take great umbrage when that is challenged."*

*"Anthony Mason- Robin, do you believe that white folks actually care about racial injustice?"*

*Robin Jeanne DiAngelo- It doesn't appear that we do. If you look at what it takes, to wake us up. When you look at the explosion of umbrage white people take from the simple claim that Black Lives Matter."*

When questioned if "white folks" care about racial injustice. Respondent declared they do not. This dangerous and arbitrary allegation has caused apprehension amongst inhabitants. This connotation incites a false ideology that every "white folk" cares nothing of racial injustice wherein the respondent is arbitrarily attacking and inciting discrimination against inhabitants in violation of Article 20 (2) of the International Convention of Civil and Political Rights and is hereby charged with the crime of inciting discrimination against the public in violation of Public Law 101-5, Public Law 101-7 and Public Law 101-12 formerly classified as Article 5, Article 7 and Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights wherein it states:

**Public Law 101-5:** No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

**Public Law 101-7:** All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

**Public Law 101-12:** No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

The evidence excerpted from a Video uploaded on YouTube, Titled "Deconstructing White Privilege with Dr. Robin DiAngelo." Link provided.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DwIx3KQer54>

In the video the respondent states that respondents work focuses on the question of what it means to be white in a society that proclaims race meaningless. The respondent attacks the society based on respondents personal opinions that respondent was socialized to believe that respondent didn't have a race and to see race as individual acts of prejudice and discrimination thereby projecting respondent's own personal experiences upon the masses to subvert the inhabitants conscious minds and is hereby charged with the political crime of Marxism in violation of Public Law 101-1 and Public Law 101-18 formerly classified as Article 1 and Article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights wherein it states:

**Public Law 101-1:** All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

**Public Law 101-18:** Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

The respondent further states respondent believes "white privilege" exists in the society and this "privilege" is based on the color of respondent's skin wherein the respondent believes that all white people are superior to all other races and that non-white races are inferior. This is the very definition of a racist ideology.

Therefore, the respondent is hereby charged with hate crimes for declaring a certain race of people as racist, simply because of the color of their skin thereby projecting the respondent's own racial bias and

racial prejudice and white privilege upon the aforesaid inhabitants within The United States of America; and

Further the respondent is assigning these nefarious characteristics to a certain race of people based on the color of their skin and using this ideology as a for-profit scheme as evidenced herein the link:

<https://www.amazon.com/White-Fragility-People-About-Racism/dp/0807047414>

Evidence excerpted from a Video uploaded on Youtube, Titled “Dr. Robin DiAngelo discusses 'White Fragility'”. Link provided.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=45ey4jgoxeU&t=742s>

*“People are defined by their race.” / “The categories are inflexible.” / “White progressives cause the most daily damage to people of color.” / “I define a white progressive as any white person who thinks he or she is not racist or less racist or is in the choir or a ready gets it.” / “White people are raised to be racial illiterate.” “Historically we projected our “sins” onto the black body.” / “You are born racist if you are white.” / “Treating everyone the same is racist.”*

Whereas the respondent lacks any authentication of the respondent’s aforementioned claims excerpted from the video link above and wherein the respondent claims power of attorney without authority to do so for a certain race of people, as if to represent race itself and the color of the skin of another with the intent to commit acts of segregation by creating jealousy, coveting and envy. Claiming power of attorney for race itself causes the following act to be committed: slavery in violation of Public Law 101-4 formerly classified as Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, thus violating all Public Laws-101; and

**Public Law 101-4:** No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Respondent has created a situation based on respondent’s Marxist methodology where persons believe there are only two types of people that exist, victims or oppressors. According to the respondent’s methodology there is no room for self-determination in this society. Children today have been indoctrinated as early as elementary school. This indoctrination process habituates them to take sides on cumbersome and multiplex issues after just hearing one side of the subject. The inhabitants have become accustomed to venting their emotions instead of analyzing conflicting evidence rather than dissecting conflicting arguments. In many Colleges and Universities whole academic departments are staunch to individual prepackaged conclusions. Thus, pushing respondents ideologies onto college campuses and indoctrinated persons like BLM with said treacherous prepacked conclusions; and

(2)

(1)



- (1) <https://www.koin.com/news/protests/photos-nightly-blm-march-in-southeast-portland/>
- (2) <https://www.callyourgirlfriend.com/episodes/2018/8/31/white-fragility>

Evidenced in the link below, the influence of the respondent's racist notions and communist propaganda on the inhabitants at-large has evidently made their way into adolescent minds as the respondent's ideals have been adopted by Television stations such as Cartoon Network to assault children with this minacious agenda, starting at the 9-minute mark and is hereby charged with the war crime of socially engineering/attacking children with violence on a mass scale:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3DGpGuK4NFA&feature=youtu.be>

Further the Great Jury finds clear and convincing evidence that the respondent's use of language to cause segregation amongst the inhabitants by inciting and advocating for racial hatred against a certain race of people to stand as a violation of Article 19 subsection 3(a)(b) on restricting free speech rights and of 20 subsection (2) of the International Convention on Civil and Political Rights wherein each states:

International Convention on Civil and Political Rights Article 19 subsection 3(a)(b) states:

**Article 19 (3)(a)(b):** The exercise of the rights provided for in paragraph 2 of this article carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary:

*( a ) For respect of the rights or reputations of others;*

*( b ) For the protection of national security or of public order, or of public health or morals.*

International Convention on Civil and Political Rights Article 20 subsection (2) states:

**Article 20 (2):** Any advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes incitement to discrimination, hostility or violence shall be prohibited by law.

**THEREFORE** the Great Jury for the American National Union of The United States of America renders this True Bill of Indictment with the authority from and in accordance with Article 15 of the Bi-lateral Social Compact Agreement by and between the people for The United States of America where in it states:

**Article 15:** The people agree that in all indictments of agreement, the truth may be given in evidence; and if it shall appear to the Great jury that the evidence is true, and published with good motives and for justifiable ends, the truth shall be a justification; and the Great jury shall be the judges of the law and facts; and

The Great Jury Further sayeth Naught,



, Great Jury Foreperson

So, accepted on the 350<sup>th</sup> day in the year of Yahweh six thousand and twenty-two, translated the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March in the two thousand and twenty-first year of the new covenant in Yahushua's name.